IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Levincent Maurel Nichols,)	C/A No. 8:20-1660-CMC
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	ODINION AND ODDER
Kilolo Kijakazi, ¹ Acting Commissioner of Social Security Administration,)))	OPINION AND ORDER
Defendant.)	

Through this action, Plaintiff seeks judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying his claim for Disability Insurance Benefits ("DIB"). Plaintiff appealed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 405(g). The matter is currently before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("Report") of Magistrate Judge Jacqueline D. Austin, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rules 73.02(B)(2)(a) and 83.VII.02, et seq., D.S.C.

The Report, filed on July 26, 2021, recommends that the decision of the Commissioner be affirmed. ECF No. 21. It also recommends Plaintiff's motion to remand be denied. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if they failed to do so. Neither party has filed objections, and the time for doing so has expired.

¹ Kilolo Kijakazi became the Acting Commissioner of Social Security on July 9, 2021. Pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Kilolo Kijakazi should be substituted, therefore, for Andrew Saul as the defendant in this suit. No further action need be taken to continue this suit by reason of the last sentence of section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation

has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the

court. Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo

determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the court

may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or

recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The court

reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See Diamond v. Colonial Life &

Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed

objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself

that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation."")

(quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

The court has reviewed the record, the applicable law, and the findings and

recommendations of the Magistrate Judge for clear error. Finding none, the court adopts and

incorporates the Report by reference. For the reasons set forth therein, the decision of the

Commissioner is affirmed and Plaintiff's motion to remand is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Cameron McGowan Currie
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE

Senior United States District Judge

Columbia, South Carolina August 16, 2021

2